Case 2:07-cv-00082-MHT-CSC Document 6 Filed 02/13/2007, Page 1 of 6

ZN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA

NORTHERN DIVISION RECEIVED

LALVIN ADHONSE LEE #152056,

Plaintiff, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

HIBBLE DISTRICT ALA

Livil Action NO. 2:07-CU-82-MHT

ALABAMA DEPT. OF COLLECTIONS, Et al.

REPLY TO COURT ORDER-AMENDMENT TO COMPLAYNT

I SBT. Landford or Dackson Utolated the Plaintiff Constitutional Rights. When she the Detendent tailed to Maintain an Adequate Law Library Of Which SBT. Landford was Appointed as Law Library Surchivson. And that Title Carried demanding Responsibilities, Including Secing that the Law Library was Maintained to the highest standards. Coverned by An Administrative Recolation and Standard Operating Procedures. To which SBT. Landford Arbeid to Pletorm, And was given Cledit an toward future The motions. Not only did SBT. Landford Willates the Plaintiff Center Twinal Rights By her Neclicence to preform her duties. But vinlated the RIGHTS of 284 other Innotes at trank LEE YOUTH Center. And Daliberately and willfully, Hindured the Plaintiff and other annotes, them Accessing the Cintis SBT. Landford Conspired with SBT. Landford By talsely interming the State of Alabama Level Division. That There Law Library was in Complaince with the States

REGULATIONS. And Receiveing Funds For Material and Sufflie's THAT NEVER WERE in the Law Liberry. UPIN Request of the Plaintiff to be Charled the Opportunity to Bo, to another this Tipons that was Adequately And Sufficiently Equipped. So that the Plaintiff Could meet Court Fred Lines. And Properly Prepare Post Conviction Release Moderal The Detendants allowed one visit, Not he the propose of Allowing the Plaintiff to Extendisse his Richts. But to Deceive any Investigation on Inflictive site the situation. Displaying Unteressional And deliberate Inditherence Standards. Linsent Request By the Plaintiff to Access and Adequate law Liberry, has Met with Retalization, on being in the Company of Inmak Dimmic Reeder. Dequesting Contain Liberry supplies Ungardessional Statement were made such as, We are not going to Let you go anywhere. You don't have any Right's And who is going to believe you inmites anyway.

A THE Second Detendant Cartain Starce Brain Villated the Plaintest Rights

By Obvious and Jerussively Egnoring the Villation's when He was

Appointed Designee in the absent of the Warden When he had toll

Micheledge of the Standard Openating Procedures, And tailed

to enstavet Sct. Lanctend, to tollow Admig is trastive Reculations.

But instead attempted to mislead the Praintiff and offer Immetes.

About the Adequatey of the Law Library. Cartain Buston this was

vegilent in doing his Jub. And in superiorsing those under him

ton which he Receives a salary form the state of Alatonam under

the Empression that he is a trusted series of the State, Also

violating ethic Policy. And conspining with other State personnel to

deprive one of his Constitutional Rights. Under the Color D law.

All of this was done knowingly and deliberately with bias nutive's against the Plaintiff.

3 THE THIND Derendont Classification Specialist DEBRA Martin Victored the Plaintit Rights by Descriminating against the Plaintitt. By Exploiting Racis T mutions an action's done through Classitying procedure's. That the STATE OF ALABAMA, DIRECTOR OF Classification and the Commissionen of Alabama Prisons EnTrus Tupon hon to protern honestly and Frishy THat she the Detendent abuses, Constantly by sheidding Newself behind her Jub Description. It detected Detendent orn Clair, An Common Ellen them having to do so much Paper week. Detendant discriminates against the plaintitt by hindering the Plaintitt thom being recommended for leatin progress and Placement that the Plaintitt is Blegible for. But cri't he put in the these programs. By know one but the Detendant Debra Mantin. So the Enmotes that she distile in how had a disagreemest with. They have ling Stay's At this Institution, The Haintitt has been singled out as in this Cotegory, lettich is a wilsten of the STATE Of ALABAMA, ADMINISTRATIVE REGulaTrins, Policy And Procedures. And A Total violation of the Plaint of Constitutions Rights And A Clear violation of the Alabama ETHic Policy ton Employee's of the AlA D.O.C.

II THE Disciplinary Policy Here of Frank Lee Guth Center is Emportial and Bies, And the procedures in which it is administered violates Due Process Rights. It Render's one punishment and this person And another punishment and this one,

WHEN there is surpose to be one Guideline fox ill. Inmotes. It's Discrimination

III THE PHYSICAL CINDITION'S CHALLENGED here the worked out equipment. Overcrowded Dorns. 72 Innotes weded into one dien to use one tablet. Judgement then the Stell on Defendants So Egypegiously bad that it take a mental tall on the Plaintiff and other's inmotes and create an envisorment Toxic to the Plaintiff mental health. In Adequate Westing Improperly installed health. In Adequate Working and Noxious times constantly, Excessive expressed to second hand smorter Excessive neise PA. System speaker in batheum Render's Plaintiff deat at times, Lack of time sately wo sprinkle system. Abusive and Un Protoussimal State.

CERTETICATE DI SURVICE

I CALIM A. Lee the Plaintitt in the Above-sythed Cruse hereby Centity that I have on this date served a copy of the trueGoing Amendments to A Complaint the Civil Action No. 2:07-CU-82-MHT. ON the Clerk of the District Coppt of the United States, ten The Middle District of Alabama Nonthund Division By placing the same in the United States Mail, Postages Prepaid and properly Addressed at thank Lee youth Center on this 8th Day of February 2007.

¿ Cas— G. Lee Pho Se, Plain Titt Plaintiff Calvin A. Lee #152056-A31
FRANK Lee YouTH CENTER
P. O. BUX 220410
DEATSUILLE, Al 36022

13/5 7, L, 4. C 100, 6, 3 220410 100 EATSVILLE, AL 36022

.v... A. Lee #152056-131

Offices Of THE CLERK (WETED STATES DESTRICT COURT P.O. BOX 711 Muntisumency, ALABAMA 36101-0711

HEAL CHIER SON DAY IL

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

CALVIN ALPHONSE LEE, #152056,)
Plaintiff,))
v.) CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:07-CV-82-MHT
ALABAMA DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS, et al.,))
Defendants.)

ORDER

Upon review of the complaint filed by the plaintiff, and for good cause, it is

ORDERED that on or before February 14, 2007 the plaintiff shall file an amendment to his complaint which:

- 1. Specifically describes how each named defendant violated *his* constitutional right of access to the courts.
- 2. Identifies the disciplinary/disciplinaries lodged against him which violated *his* right to due process.
- 3. States whether he seeks to challenge the physical conditions of confinement at the Frank Lee Youth Center. If so, the plaintiff shall present specific facts in support of any claim challenging such conditions.

In responding to this order, the plaintiff is advised that he must set forth **short and**plain statements showing why he is entitled to relief. Each allegation in the pleading

should be simple, concise and direct. See Rule 8, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The

plaintiff is further advised that this case will proceed only against those claims

presented in the amended complaint.

The plaintiff is cautioned that if he fails to comply with the directives of this order

the Magistrate Judge will recommend that this case be dismissed.

Done this 30th day of January, 2007.

/s/ Charles S. Coody

CHARLES S. COODY

CHIEF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE